

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,777	10/22/2003	Howard M. Lee	062403.P001	7179
	7590 09/21/2007		EXAM	INER
Mark S. Peloquin PELOQUIN, PLLC			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
Suite 4100 800 Fifth Aven	ne		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seattle, WA 98104-3100			3628	
			MAN DATE	DELINERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/691,777	LEE, HOWARD M.	
Ai	mendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	,	Igor N. Borissov	3628	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
	dment document filed on <u>16 July 2007</u> is cons nts of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the ar equired.			J
	OWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	e markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:	
_ 2	Abstract:  A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 3  B. Other	7 CFR 1.72.		
<u> </u>	<ul> <li>Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identification:</li> <li>"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed of showing amended figures, without many control of the co</li></ul>	CFR 1.121(d). drawing correction has been elimin	nated. Replacement drawings	;
☑ 4.	Amendments to the claims:  A. A complete listing of all of the claims in B. The listing of claims does not include  C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following (Previously presented), (New), (Not expression of the claims of this amendment paper in E. Other: See attached.	the text of all pending claims (incleth the proper status identifier, and lote: the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the individual status at be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), awn-currently amended).	
☐ 5.	Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or r	not signed in accordance with 37 (	CFR 1.4):	
or further	explanation of the amendment format require	ed by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.	
TIME PER	IODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTI	ICE:		
filed af	ant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-co ter allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmi corrected amendment must be resubmitted	it the non-compliant after-final am	nal amendment or an amendmendment with corrections, the	ient
correc (includ amend Quayle	ant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, we tion, if the non-compliant amendment is one of ing a submission for a request for continued alment filed within a suspension period under see action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are charmliant amendment in compliance with 37 C	of the following: a preliminary ame examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an an ecked, the correction required is c	endment, a non-final amendme (1.114), a supplemental nendment filed in response to	ent a
Ext ame	ensions of time are available under 37 CFR endment or an amendment filed in response t	1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-complian to a <i>Quayle</i> action.	t amendment is a non-final	
f	ure to timely respond to this notice will result the non-collied in response to a Quayle action; or someone of the amendment if the non-compared ment.	ompliant amendment is a non-final		nt

Telephone No.

Art Unit: 3628

Applicant's response of 07/16/2007 is found to be non-responsive. Applicant has added new claims 73-76. 37 CFR 1.111 requires applicant to specifically point out "the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references". Applicant needs to discuss why the newly presented claims are believed to be patentable over the prior art that was applied in the previous office action. This has not been done. Applicant has not discussed any of the newly presented claims and has not discussed any of the claim limitations with respect to the applied prior art. What is it in the claims that applicant believes renders them patentable? A discussion of the claims, the claim limitations, and why they are considered patentable over the applied prior art is required.

Failure to remedy the above deficiencies will result in abandonment of the application. Two notices of non-responsive will not be sent.

Since the above mentioned response appears to be a bona fide attempt to reply, applicant is given a time period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to correct the above noted deficiencies in the next response will result in the abandonment of the application.

IGOR N. BORISŠOV PRIMARY EXAMINER